

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 AKEEM MAKEEN,
7 Plaintiff(s),

8 v.

9 SUMMITT RIDGE APARTMENTS, LLC, et
10 al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:24-cv-00913-GMN-NJK

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

11 Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se* and has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.
12 Docket No. 1. The case originates out of a lease dispute regarding an apartment in Colorado, but
13 the complaint also alleges violations of, *inter alia*, the Fair Credit Reporting Act and the Fair Debt
14 Collection Practices Act.¹

15 The federal venue statute requires that a civil action be brought in (1) a judicial district in
16 which any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same state where the district is located,
17 (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim
18 occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial
19 district in which any defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction at the time the action is
20 commenced, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. 28 U.S.C. §
21 1391(b).

22 It is not clear that venue is proper in Nevada under any of these provisions. While Plaintiff
23 appears to now live in Nevada, the only geographical references in the complaint appear to relate
24
25
26

27
28 ¹ The Court liberally construes the filings of *pro se* litigants. *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S.
89, 94 (2007).

1 to Colorado. It is not clear what alleged events or omissions (if any) took place in Nevada.²
2 Moreover, the complaint does not allege that Defendants all reside in this state.

3 Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED** to show cause in writing, no later than June
4 7, 2024, why this case should not be dismissed or transferred to the District of Colorado. *See* 28
5 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: May 17, 2024

8
9 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ² The complaint does cite to both the Colorado Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the
28 Nevada Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, *see* Docket No. 1-1 at 3, though it is not clear what
alleged events took place in Nevada.